

# Block-transitive, point-imprimitive designs with $\lambda = 1$

Christine M. O’Keefe\*, Tim Penttila and Cheryl E. Praeger

*Department of Mathematics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands WA 6009, Australia*

Received 1 May 1990

## Abstract

O’Keefe, C.M., T. Penttila and C.E. Praeger, Block-transitive, point-imprimitive designs with  $\lambda = 1$ , *Discrete Mathematics* 115 (1993) 231–244.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $2$ -( $v, k, 1$ ) design with a group  $G$  of automorphisms which is transitive on the blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$  and transitive but imprimitive on the points of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Delandtsheer and Doyen (1989) proved that  $v$  is bounded above by  $(k-2)^2(k+1)^2/4$ . Carrying on from the work of Cameron and Praeger (1989), we show that if  $v$  is equal to this upper bound then  $v = 729$  and  $k = 8$ . Further work of Nickel et al. (1992) has shown that, up to an isomorphism, there are 467 block-transitive, point-imprimitive  $2$ -(729, 8, 1) designs.

## 1. Introduction

A  $2$ -( $v, k, \lambda$ ) design  $\mathcal{D}$  is a set  $\Omega$  of  $v$  points and a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $k$ -element subsets of  $\Omega$ , called *blocks*, such that each pair of points is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks. Such a design is called *block-transitive* if some group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  is transitive on the block set  $\mathcal{B}$ . In this case  $G$  is transitive on the point set  $\Omega$  by Block’s lemma (see [6, 2.3.1]). It may happen that  $G$  preserves a nontrivial equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $\Omega$ : we say that  $G$  *preserves*  $\rho$  if two points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $\rho$ -related if and only if, for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\alpha^g$  and  $\beta^g$  are  $\rho$ -related. Also  $\rho$  is nontrivial if the  $\rho$ -classes  $\rho(\alpha) := \{\beta \mid \alpha \rho \beta\}$  have size  $c = |\rho(\alpha)|$  satisfying  $1 < c < v$ . If  $G$  does preserve such a nontrivial equivalence relation then  $G$  is said to act *point-imprimitively* on  $\mathcal{D}$ . The starting point for this paper is the recent result of Delandtsheer and Doyen [5] (see also [3, Theorem 5.1]), which shows that the number of points of a block-transitive, point-imprimitive  $2$ -( $v, k, \lambda$ ) design  $\mathcal{D}$  is bounded above by a function of the block size, namely,

$$v \leq \left(\binom{k}{2} - 1\right)^2.$$

*Correspondence to:* Cheryl E. Praeger, Department of Mathematics, The University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia.

\* This author was supported by an ARC Research Fellowship.

More precisely, if  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  acting block-transitively and preserving a nontrivial equivalence relation  $\rho$  on points with  $d$  classes of size  $c = v/d$ , then

$$c = \frac{\binom{k}{2} - x}{y} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \frac{\binom{k}{2} - y}{x},$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers. Here  $x$  is the number of unordered pairs of points in a given block of  $\mathcal{D}$  which are  $\rho$ -related; since  $G$  is transitive on blocks, this number  $x$  is independent of the block. Note that the upper bound on  $v$  is independent of the number  $\lambda$  of blocks containing a given pair of points. The case where  $v = (\binom{k}{2} - 1)^2$  was examined by Cameron and Praeger [3] and a classification of all such designs was obtained provided that  $k \neq 3, 4, 5$  or  $8$ . In all of the examples found, the parameter  $\lambda$  was very large.

On the other hand, there are block-transitive, point-imprimitive designs with  $\lambda = 1$ . For example, there are several examples with 91 points discussed in [4]. In this paper we concentrate on block-transitive, point-imprimitive  $2-(v, k, 1)$  designs such that each block contains exactly one pair of points in the same equivalence class, that is, the parameter  $x$  above equals 1. One family of examples of such designs are the Desarguesian projective planes of order  $q$ , where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the design of points and lines of the projective plane  $\text{PG}(3, q)$ , where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $2-(q^2 + q + 1, q + 1, 1)$  design and admits a cyclic subgroup  $G = \langle g \rangle$  of order  $q^2 + q + 1$  of automorphisms which acts regularly on points and on lines (blocks). Thus, the points of  $\mathcal{D}$  may be labelled by the elements of  $G$  in such a way that  $G$  acts by right multiplication. Since  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $G$  has a subgroup  $K = \langle h \rangle$ , where  $h = g^{(q^2 + q + 1)/3}$ , of order 3 and  $G$  preserves the equivalence relation  $\rho$  on points defined by: points  $a, b$  are  $\rho$ -related if and only if  $aK = bK$ . The  $\rho$ -classes are the cosets of  $K$  in  $G$ . Thus,  $G$  is transitive on blocks and imprimitive on the points of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Further, the orbit of  $G$  on unordered pairs of points containing a given pair, say  $\{1, \alpha\}$ , has order  $q^2 + q + 1$  and consists of all the unordered pairs of  $\rho$ -related points. Since  $G$  is regular on the lines of  $\mathcal{D}$ , it follows that each line of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains exactly one pair of  $\rho$ -related points. (The largest subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{D} = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, q)$  which preserves  $\rho$  is the normalizer in  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{D}$  of  $\langle g \rangle$ .)

Now let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nontrivial  $2-(v, k, 1)$  design which admits a group  $G$  of automorphisms acting transitively on blocks and preserving a nontrivial equivalence relation  $\rho$  on points such that each block contains a unique  $\rho$ -related pair of points. Let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $G$  which fixes each  $\rho$ -class setwise. It is certainly possible for  $K$  to be nontrivial, as was seen in the family of examples above, where a cyclic group  $G$  of order  $v$  was given with the subgroup  $K \simeq Z_3$  acting semiregularly on  $\rho$ -classes. Moreover, for the design  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$ , the subgroup of the full automorphism group  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$  which preserves  $\rho$  is isomorphic to  $F_{21} \times S_3$ , where  $F_{21}$  is the Frobenius group of order 21, and the subgroup of this which fixes every  $\rho$ -class setwise is  $S_3$ . It turns out that this is the only example for which the subgroup  $K$  can have even order.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $2-(v, k, 1)$  design with a group  $G$  of automorphisms which is transitive on the blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that  $G$  preserves a nontrivial equivalence relation  $\rho$  on points with  $d$  classes of size  $c$  such that each block of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains exactly one pair of  $\rho$ -related points. Let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $G$  fixing each  $\rho$ -class setwise. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) *The group  $K$  is trivial.*
- (ii) *The group  $K$  is elementary abelian of odd order  $c$ , and is transitive on each  $\rho$ -class. Also  $d$  is odd. Moreover, if  $c = 3$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  is the design of points and lines of a projective plane.*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{D}$  is  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$ ,  $K \simeq S_3$  and  $G$  is  $H \times K$ , where  $H$  is  $Z_7$  or a Frobenius group  $F_{21}$  of order 21.*

This result, together with [3, Corollary 5.4], allows us to classify the block-transitive, point-imprimitive  $2-(v, k, 1)$  designs attaining the Delandtsheer–Doyen bound. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $2-(v, k, 1)$  design with a group  $G$  of automorphisms which acts block-transitively and point-imprimitively on  $\mathcal{D}$ , and for which  $v = \left(\binom{k}{2} - 1\right)^2$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $2-(729, 8, 1)$  design. Moreover,  $G = NH$ , where  $H$  is either cyclic of order 13 or the nonabelian group of order 39, and  $N$  satisfies one of the following:*

- (a)  $N = Z_3^6$ ,
- (b)  $N = Z_3^3$ , or
- (c)  $N$  is the relatively free, 3-generator, exponent 3, nilpotency class 2 group (of order 729).

The subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  acts regularly on the point set  $\Omega$ ; so,  $\Omega$  can be identified with  $N$  in such a way that  $N$  acts by right multiplication. Further, if  $\alpha$  is the point identified with the identity element of  $N$ , then the subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  can be chosen to be  $G_\alpha$  (by replacing  $H$  by a conjugate if necessary). Moreover, the group  $N \cdot Z_{13}$  acts transitively on the blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$ ; so, for the purposes of investigating or classifying these designs, we may assume that  $|H| = 13$ . Then  $G$  has 28 orbits on unordered pairs of points, each of the same length  $3^6 \cdot 13$ . It follows from [3, Proposition 1.3] that, for an 8-element subset  $B$  of  $\Omega = N$ , the set  $\{B^g \mid g \in G\}$  of images of  $B$  under  $G$  is the block set of a block-transitive, point-imprimitive  $2-(729, 8, 1)$  design if and only if  $B$  contains an unordered pair of points from each of the  $G$ -orbits on unordered pairs. The search for 8-element subsets of  $N$  with this property was carried out for each of the three kinds of groups  $N$  and 467 pairwise nonisomorphic designs were found. This work was done by the authors together with Nickel and Niemeyer and is described in [10].

In Section 2 some general technical lemmas are proved about block-transitive, point-imprimitive designs. These are used in Section 3 to prove Theorem 1.1. Then, in Section 4, Theorem 1.2 is proved.

## 2. Block-transitive, point-imprimitive designs

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $2$ -( $v, k, \lambda$ ) design with a group  $G$  of automorphisms which is transitive on blocks and transitive but imprimitive on the point set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that  $G$  preserves a nontrivial equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $\Omega$  with  $d$  classes of size  $c$ , where  $v = cd$ ,  $c > 1$ ,  $d > 1$ . Then, by [5] (or see [3]),

$$c = (l - x)/y, \quad d = (l - y)/x,$$

where  $l = k(k-1)/2$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers and  $x$  is the number of unordered pairs of  $\rho$ -related points in a block of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $R$  be the set of  $\rho$ -classes. First we examine the action of  $G$  on  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $G$  be as above. Let  $\Delta$  be an orbit of  $G$  in  $R \times R$ ,  $\Delta \neq \{(r, r) \mid r \in R\}$  and let  $S(\Delta) = \{\{\alpha, \beta\} \mid \alpha \in r_1, \beta \in r_2 \text{ for some } (r_1, r_2) \in \Delta\}$ . Then the cardinality  $u$  of the set  $\Delta(r) := \{r' \mid (r, r') \in \Delta\}$  is independent of  $r \in R$ , and each block of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains*

$$\frac{2cux}{\delta(c-1)}$$

*elements of  $S(\Delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is 2 if  $\Delta$  is self-paired and 1 if  $\Delta$  is not self-paired. In particular,  $2ux$  is divisible by  $c-1$ .*

Recall that, for a transitive group  $G$  on a set  $R$ , an orbit  $\Delta$  of  $G$  in  $R \times R$  has a corresponding paired orbit  $\Delta^* = \{(r_2, r_1) \mid (r_1, r_2) \in \Delta\}$  and  $\Delta$  is said to be self-paired if  $\Delta = \Delta^*$ . In the case where  $c = d = l - 1$ , we conclude that  $G$  acts 2-homogeneously on  $R$ , that is,  $G$  is transitive on unordered pairs of  $R$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $G$  are as above and if  $c = d = l - 1$ , then  $G$  is 2-homogeneous on  $R$ .*

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** We have  $|S(\Delta)| = c^2 du / \delta$ . Let  $N$  be the number of ordered pairs  $(\{\alpha, \beta\}, B)$ , with  $B$  a block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  and  $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in S(\Delta)$ . Then  $N = |S(\Delta)|\lambda = c^2 du\lambda / \delta$ . Now, as  $G$  fixes  $S(\Delta)$  setwise and  $G$  is transitive on blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$ , each block of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains exactly  $N/b$  pairs from  $S(\Delta)$ , where  $b$  is the number of blocks. The rest of the proof is arithmetic:  $b = v(v-1)\lambda / k(k-1) = cd(cd-1)\lambda / 2l$ . We have  $cd-1 = ((l-x)(l-y)/xy) - 1 = l(l-x-y)/xy = l(c-1)/x$ ; so  $b = cd(c-1)\lambda / 2x$ . Thus,  $N/b = 2cux / \delta(c-1)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 2.2.** If  $c = d = l - 1$  then  $x = y = 1$  and, by Lemma 2.1,  $2uc / \delta(c-1)$  is an integer, for each nondiagonal orbit  $\Delta$  of  $G$  in  $R \times R$ . In particular,  $c-1$  divides  $2u$ . If  $u = c-1$  then  $G$  is 2-transitive and, hence, 2-homogeneous on  $R$ . So, assume that  $u < c-1$ . Then  $u = (c-1)/2$ , so  $c$  is odd and, hence,  $\delta = 1$ . It follows that the  $c(c-1)/2$  ordered pairs in  $\Delta$  correspond to  $c(c-1)/2$  distinct unordered pairs of  $\rho$ -classes; hence,  $G$  is transitive on the unordered pairs from  $R$ , that is,  $G$  is 2-homogeneous on  $R$ .  $\square$

Now we shall restrict the discussion to  $2$ -( $v, k, 1$ ) designs where  $x = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $2-(v, k, 1)$  design, let  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $G$  be as above and let  $x=1$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *The group induced on a  $\rho$ -class is 2-homogeneous.*
- (b) *If  $B$  is a block of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the unique pair of  $\rho$ -related points in  $B$ , then  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = G_B < G_{\rho(\alpha)}$ , where  $\rho(\alpha)$  is the  $\rho$ -class containing  $\alpha$ .*
- (c) *The number of blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $b = cd(c-1)/2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be a  $\rho$ -related pair of points of  $\Omega$  and let  $B$  be the unique block containing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . By the uniqueness of  $B$ ,  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} \subseteq G_B$ , and, since  $B$  contains a unique pair of  $\rho$ -related points, it follows that  $G_B = G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ . Consequently,  $G_B \subseteq G_{\rho(\alpha)}$ .

Let  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  and  $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$  be two unordered pairs from  $\rho(\alpha)$  and let  $B, B'$  be the (unique) blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  and  $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$ , respectively. Let  $g \in G$  map  $B$  to  $B'$ . Then, as  $\{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\alpha', \beta'\}$  is the unique  $\rho$ -related pair of points of  $B, B'$ , respectively,  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^g = \{\alpha', \beta'\}$ . Moreover,  $\rho(\alpha)^g \cap \rho(\alpha)$  contains  $\{\alpha, \beta\}^g = \{\alpha', \beta'\}$  and, hence  $\rho(\alpha)^g = \rho(\alpha)$ , that is,  $g \in G_{\rho(\alpha)}$ . It follows that  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is 2-homogeneous on  $\rho(\alpha)$ .

It was shown in the proof of Lemma 2.1 that the number  $b$  of blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $b = cd(c-1)\lambda/2x = cd(c-1)/2$ .  $\square$

From these results it is clear that we shall need to consider finite 2-homogeneous permutation groups. It emerged in the proof of Corollary 2.2 that a 2-homogeneous group  $G$  is either 2-transitive or has two nontrivial orbits  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^*$  on ordered pairs which are paired with each other. In the latter case (see [8, XII 6.5]),  $G$  has odd order, its degree is a prime power  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\Gamma L(1, q)$ . Note that this result uses the Feit–Thompson theorem [7], that is, the theorem that all groups of odd order are soluble. The following lemma establishes some properties of 2-homogeneous groups we shall need. Recall that, for a finite transitive permutation group  $G$  on a set  $\Omega$ , each orbit of  $G_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , is of the form  $\Delta(\alpha) = \{\beta \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\}$ , for some  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta$  in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . Moreover, for paired orbits  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^*$  in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ , the corresponding  $G_\alpha$ -orbits  $\Delta(\alpha)$  and  $\Delta^*(\alpha)$  are also said to be paired and their lengths are equal:  $|\Delta(\alpha)| = |\Delta|/|\Omega| = |\Delta^*|/|\Omega| = |\Delta^*(\alpha)|$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-homogeneous permutation group on a set  $\Omega$  of  $c$  points and let  $K$  be a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a)  *$K$  is transitive on  $\Omega$ .*
- (b) *For  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , all  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  have the same length, say  $m$ .*
- (c) *All  $K$ -orbits on unordered pairs of points from  $\Omega$  have the same length, say  $n$ . If  $|K|$  is odd then  $c$  is a prime power,  $n = cm$ , and, for distinct points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $K_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = K_{\alpha, \beta}$ . If  $|K|$  is even then  $n = cm/2$  (and all  $K$ -orbits in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  are self-paired).*

**Proof.** It is straightforward to show that a 2-homogeneous group  $G$  is primitive. Hence, a nontrivial normal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  is transitive on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Then  $K_\alpha$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_\alpha$ . If  $G_\alpha$  is transitive on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  then  $G_\alpha$  permutes the  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  transitively and, so, all  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  have the same length  $m$  say. If

this is not the case then  $G$  has two nontrivial orbits,  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^*$ , on ordered pairs which are paired with each other. Thus,  $G_\alpha$  has two orbits,  $\Delta(\alpha) = \{\beta \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\}$  and  $\Delta^*(\alpha) = \{\gamma \mid (\alpha, \gamma) \in \Delta^*\} = \{\gamma \mid (\gamma, \alpha) \in \Delta\}$  in  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . Now  $G_\alpha$  permutes transitively the  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $\Delta(\alpha)$  and, so all of these  $K_\alpha$ -orbits have the same length, say  $m$ , and, similarly, all the  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $\Delta^*(\alpha)$  have the same length, say  $m^*$ . Let  $\Sigma(\alpha)$  be a  $K_\alpha$ -orbit in  $\Delta(\alpha)$  and let  $\gamma \in \Sigma(\alpha) \subseteq \Delta(\alpha)$ . Then  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \Delta$ ; so  $\Sigma = (\alpha, \gamma)^K \subseteq \Delta$ , whence  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \Delta^*$  and, so  $\Sigma^*(\alpha) \subseteq \Delta^*(\alpha)$ . It follows that  $m = |\Sigma(\alpha)| = |\Sigma^*(\alpha)| = m^*$ .

Since  $G$  is transitive on unordered pairs,  $G$  permutes transitively the set of  $K$ -orbits on unordered pairs and, so these all have the same length, say  $n$ . If  $|K|$  is odd then, by [13, 16.5],  $K$  has no nontrivial self-paired orbits in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  and, so, if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are distinct points of  $\Omega$  then the orbit  $(\alpha, \beta)^K$  of  $K$  in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  has the same length as the  $K$ -orbit on unordered pairs containing  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ ; so  $K_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = K_{\alpha, \beta}$ . Thus,  $n = |(\alpha, \beta)^K| = cm$ . Moreover, if  $|K|$  is odd then  $K$  is soluble [7] and, hence, a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $K$  is elementary abelian and regular on  $\Omega$ ; so  $c$  is a prime power. Suppose now that  $|K|$  is even so that, by [14, 16.5],  $K$  has a self-paired orbit, say  $\Sigma$ , in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . Then the  $K$ -orbit on unordered pairs consisting of those pairs  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  for which  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Sigma$  has length  $|\Sigma|/2$ , since each unordered pair  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  corresponds to the two ordered pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\beta, \alpha)$  of  $\Sigma$ . Thus, in this case  $n = cm/2$ . It follows that all  $K$ -orbits in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  are self-paired.

### 3. Block-transitive, point-imprimitive 2- $(v, k, 1)$ designs with $x = 1$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The main part of the proof is contained in Proposition 3.1; then the cases  $c = 3$  and  $c = 5$  are considered separately.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a 2- $(v, k, 1)$  design with a group  $G$  of automorphisms acting transitively on blocks. Suppose that  $G$  preserves a nontrivial equivalence relation  $\rho$  on points with  $d$  classes of size  $c$  such that any block of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains a unique pair of  $\rho$ -related points. Suppose further that the subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  fixing each  $\rho$ -class setwise is nontrivial. Then  $v = cd$  is odd and either*

- (a)  *$K$  is elementary abelian of order  $c$  and is transitive on each  $\rho$ -class, or*
- (b) *the 4-tuple  $(c, d, k, K)$  is  $(3, 7, 5, S_3)$  or  $(5, 5, 4, D_{10})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega$  denote the point set of  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is 2-homogeneous on  $\rho(\alpha)$  and, as  $K$  is normal in  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$ ,  $K$  is transitive on  $\rho(\alpha)$  and all  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $\rho(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}$  have the same length, say  $m$ . Thus,  $m$  divides  $c - 1$ . Also, since  $G$  is transitive on blocks and  $K$  is normal in  $G$ , all  $K$ -orbits on blocks have the same length, say  $n$ . Then  $n$  divides  $b = dc(c - 1)/2$ . Now let  $\beta \in \rho(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}$  and let  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  be the unique block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $n = |K : K_{B(\alpha, \beta)}| = |K : K_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}|$  is  $cm$  if  $|K|$  is odd and  $cm/2$  if  $|K|$  is even, by Lemma 2.4 applied to  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$ , noting that  $|K|$  and  $|K^{\rho(\alpha)}|$  have the same parity.

Now let  $r_1, r_2$  be distinct  $\rho$ -classes, and consider the action of  $K$  on  $r_1 \times r_2$ . Let  $(\gamma, \delta) \in r_1 \times r_2$  and let  $B$  be the unique block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ . Then  $K_{\gamma\delta} \subseteq K_B$  and, so the length of the  $K$ -orbit containing  $(\gamma, \delta)$  is divisible by  $n = |K : K_B|$ . Suppose first that  $|K|$  is odd. Then each  $K$ -orbit in  $r_1 \times r_2$  has length divisible by  $n = cm$  and, hence,  $|r_1 \times r_2|/c = c$  is divisible by  $m$ . Since  $m$  divides  $c-1$ , it follows that  $m=1$ . Thus,  $K_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = K_{\alpha\beta} = K_\alpha$  fixes  $\rho(\alpha)$  pointwise. So,  $K^{\rho(\alpha)}$  is a regular normal subgroup of  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$ , and, hence,  $K^{\rho(\alpha)}$  is elementary abelian of order  $c$  (see [1, 8, XII 6.5]). We claim that  $K_\alpha = 1$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Omega - \rho(\alpha)$  and let  $B(\alpha, \gamma)$  be the unique block containing  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . Then  $K_{B(\alpha, \gamma)}$  has index  $c$  in  $K$  and fixes  $\rho(\alpha) \cap B(\alpha, \gamma)$  a set of size 1 or 2, setwise. We have just shown that  $K$ -orbits on points or pairs of points from  $\rho(\alpha)$  all have length  $c$ , and, so, the stabilizer of  $\rho(\alpha) \cap B(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $K_\alpha$  and is equal to  $K_{B(\alpha, \gamma)}$ . Similarly,  $K_{B(\alpha, \gamma)} = K_\gamma$ , whence  $K_\alpha = K_\gamma = 1$ . Finally,  $d = 1 + (c-1)y$  and so  $d$ , and hence  $v$ , is odd if  $c$  is odd. Thus, (a) is true.

Assume now that  $|K|$  is even. If  $k$  were equal to 3 then we would have  $c = (l-1)/y = 2/y = 2$ ,  $d = 2$  and, hence,  $b = 2 < v$ , which is not possible. Thus,  $k \geq 4$ . Then in a block  $B$  of the design there are points  $\mu, v$  for which  $B \cap \rho(\mu) = \{\mu\}$  and  $B \cap \rho(v) = \{v\}$ . Then  $K_B$  fixes  $\mu$  and  $v$ , while  $K_{\mu v}$  fixes the unique block  $B$  containing  $\mu$  and  $v$ ; hence,  $K_B = K_{\mu v}$ . Thus,  $|K : K_{\mu v}| = n = cm/2$  and, so,  $|K_\mu : K_{\mu v}| = m/2$ , whence  $m$  is even. From the previous paragraph, every  $K$ -orbit in  $r_1 \times r_2$  has length divisible by  $n = cm/2$ , whence  $m$  divides  $2c$ . Since also  $m$  divides  $c-1$ , it follows that  $m=2$  and  $c$  is odd. Also  $d = 1 + (c-1)y$  is odd; so,  $v$  is odd. Now consider  $K_\alpha$ . All of its orbits in  $\rho(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}$  have length 2. Let  $r$  be a  $\rho$ -class distinct from  $\rho(\alpha)$ . Let  $\gamma \in r$  and let  $B'$  be the block containing  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . If  $B' \cap \rho(\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$  then  $K_{B'} \subseteq K_\alpha$ , and, as  $|K : K_{B'}| = n = c = |K : K_\alpha|$ , we have  $K_{B'} = K_\alpha$ . Then the  $K_\alpha$ -orbit containing  $\gamma$  has size  $|r \cap B'| = 1$  or 2. On the other hand, if  $B' \cap \rho(\alpha) = \{\alpha, \alpha'\}$ , say, then  $B' \cap r = \{\gamma\}$  and, so,  $K_{B'} = K_\gamma = K_{\{\alpha, \alpha'\}}$ ; hence,  $K_\gamma \cap K_\alpha$  has index 2 in  $K_\gamma$ . It follows that the  $K_\alpha$ -orbit containing  $\gamma$  has length 2. Thus, all  $K_\alpha$ -orbits in  $r$  have lengths 1 or 2, and, as  $c$  is odd,  $K_\alpha$  fixes some point of  $r$ . Therefore,  $K$  acts similarly on all  $\rho$ -classes. We may assume that  $K_\alpha = K_\gamma$ , where  $\gamma \in r$ . Then  $K_\alpha$  has one orbit,  $\{\gamma\}$ , of length 1 and  $(c-1)/2$  orbits of length 2 in  $r$ . If  $B'$  is the block containing  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  then  $B'$  intersects at least one of  $\rho(\alpha)$  and  $r$  in exactly one point, and it follows that  $K_{B'} = K_\alpha = K_\gamma$  and  $|B' \cap \rho(\alpha)| = |B' \cap r| = 1$ . Moreover, since  $\{\gamma\}$  is the only  $K_\alpha$ -orbit in  $r$  of length 1, the only block containing  $\alpha$  which meets both  $\rho(\alpha)$  and  $r$  in one point is  $B'$ . The number of triples  $(B', \alpha', \gamma')$ , where  $B'$  is a block of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $B' \cap \rho(\alpha') = \{\alpha'\}$ ,  $B' \cap \rho(\gamma') = \{\gamma'\}$  and  $\alpha' \neq \gamma'$ , is  $b(k-2)(k-3)$ . On the other hand, a point  $\alpha$  and a class  $r \neq \rho(\alpha)$  uniquely determine a block  $B$  and a point  $\gamma \in r$  for which  $(B, \alpha, \gamma)$  is such a triple. Hence, the number of triples is  $v(d-1)$ . Thus,  $(k-2)(k-3)cd(c-1)/2 = b(k-2)(k-3) = v(d-1) = cd(d-1) = cd(c-1)y$ ; whence,  $2y = (k-2)(k-3)$ . Then, as  $c = (l-1)/y$ ,  $y$  divides  $l-1 = (k-2)(k+1)/2$  and it follows that  $k$  is 4, 5 or 7. However, as  $c$  is odd,  $k \neq 7$ . Thus,  $(c, d, k)$  is  $(3, 7, 5)$  or  $(5, 5, 4)$ , and  $K$  is  $S_3$  or  $D_{10}$ , respectively. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

Our next step is to consider the case where  $c=3$  in Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be as in Proposition 3.1 and let  $c=3$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is the design of points and lines of a projective plane of order  $k-1$ . Moreover, if  $(c, d, k, K) = (3, 7, 5, S_3)$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$  and  $G$  is  $H \times K$ , where  $H$  is  $Z_7$  or  $F_{21}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $c=3$  then  $l=1+3y$  and  $d=l-y=(1+2l)/3=(k^2-k+1)/3$ ; so,  $v=k^2-k+1$ , whence  $\mathcal{D}$  is the design of points and lines of a projective plane of order  $k-1$ . Now let  $(c, d, k, K)=(3, 7, 5, S_3)$ ; so,  $\mathcal{D}$  is the (unique) projective plane  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$  of order 4; see [6, 3.2.15].

Since the orbits of  $K \simeq S_3$  are the  $\rho$ -classes, it follows that  $K$  contains a subgroup  $L$  of order 3 acting fixed-point-freely on the points of  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$ . Now  $G$  normalizes  $L$ , and the normalizer of  $L$  in  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$  is the normalizer of a Singer cycle in  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$ , which is isomorphic to  $F_{21} \times S_3$ . Since  $G$  is transitive on the lines of  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$  and  $G$  contains  $K \simeq S_3$ , it follows that  $G$  is  $H \times K$ , where  $H$  is  $Z_7$  or  $F_{21}$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a projective plane and  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  which acts transitively on the lines of  $\mathcal{D}$  and has a normal subgroup  $N$  of order 3. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1, with the  $\rho$ -classes being the orbits of  $N$ , and with  $c=3$ . However, it is a longstanding conjecture (see [6]) that a projective plane with a group of automorphisms transitive on the lines is Desarguesian. In other words, the known examples are the examples given in Section 1.

**Lemma 3.3.** *There is no design satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 with  $(c, d, k, K)=(5, 5, 4, D_{10})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C$  be the centralizer of  $K$  in  $G$ . Then  $H=C \times K$  has index 1 or 2 in  $G$  since  $D_{10} \simeq KC/C \leq G/C \leq \text{Aut } K$  and  $\text{Aut } D_{10}$  has order 20. Moreover,  $K^{\rho(\alpha)} \simeq D_{10}$  is a normal self-centralizing subgroup of  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  and is not equal to  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  since the latter group is 2-homogeneous. Hence,  $|G:H|=2$  and  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  is a Frobenius group  $F_{20}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be  $\rho$ -related and let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing them, so that  $G_B = G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ . Since  $K$  has two orbits of size 5 on unordered pairs of points in  $\rho(\alpha)$ , it follows that  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}K$  has index 2 in  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  and, hence, that, in the action on the set  $R$  of  $\rho$ -classes,  $G_B^R = G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}K/K$  has index 2 in  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^R$ . By Corollary 2.2,  $G^R$  is 2-homogeneous of degree 5 and, as  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^R$  has a subgroup  $G_B^R$  of index 2 which has at least 3 orbits in  $R$ , it follows that  $G^R = F_{20}$  and  $C$  is  $D_{10}$ . Also  $G = (K \times C) \cdot 2 = (D_{10} \times D_{10}) \cdot 2$ . The set  $\Omega$  of points may be identified with  $O_5(G) = Z_5 \times Z_5$ , so that  $O_5(G)$  acts by right multiplication and the  $\rho$ -classes are  $r_i = \{i\} \times Z_5$  for  $i \in Z_5$ . Since  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  is 2-transitive, we may assume that  $\alpha = (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta = (0, 4)$ , and then  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = \langle s, t \rangle$ , where  $(i, j)s = (i, -j)$  and  $(i, j)t = (-i, j)$  for  $(i, j) \in \Omega$ . Since  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$  fixes  $B - \{\alpha, \beta\}$  setwise, it follows that  $B - \{\alpha, \beta\}$  is either  $\{(1, 0), (4, 0)\}$  or  $\{(2, 0), (3, 0)\}$ . In the former case however, translating  $B$  by  $(1, 4) \in O_5(G)$  gives a block  $\{(1, 0), (1, 3), (2, 4), (0, 4)\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  which is different from  $B$  but contains  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 4)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\lambda=1$ . Similarly, in the latter case, translating  $B$  by  $(3, 4) \in O_5(G)$  gives a block  $\{(3, 0), (3, 3), (0, 4), (1, 4)\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  different from  $B$  and containing  $(3, 0)$  and  $(0, 4)$ , which is again a contradiction. Thus, there are no examples in this case and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Theorem 1.1 now follows from Proposition 3.1, and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.



#### 4. $2\text{--}(v, k, 1)$ designs with $v = ((k/2) - 1)^2$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $2\text{--}(v, k, 1)$  design with  $v = (l-1)^2$ , where  $l = k(k-1)/2$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  which acts transitively on blocks and imprimitively on points. Then (see [3]),  $G$  preserves an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on the set  $\Omega$  of points with  $d$  classes of size  $c$ , where  $c = d = l - 1$  (so,  $x = y = 1$ ). By [3, Corollary 5.4],  $k$  is 3, 4, 5 or 8. If  $k = 3$  then  $v = 4$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is the trivial design on 4 points. But this is a  $2\text{--}(4, 3, 2)$  design; hence,  $k$  is 4, 5 or 8 and  $c$  is 5, 9 or 27, respectively. Let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $G$  which fixes each  $\rho$ -class setwise. By Theorem 1.1, either  $K$  is trivial or  $K$  is elementary abelian of order  $c$  and is transitive on each  $\rho$ -class. By Corollary 2.2,  $G$  is 2-homogeneous on the set  $R$  of  $\rho$ -classes and, by Lemma 2.3,  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is 2-homogeneous on  $\rho(\alpha)$ . Now  $|R| = |\rho(\alpha)| = c$  and we note (see the remarks following Lemma 2.3) that all 2-homogeneous groups of degree 5 or 9 are 2-transitive. We shall show that in all cases  $K \neq 1$  and the groups  $G^R$  and  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  have elementary abelian regular normal subgroups.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The group  $K$  is elementary abelian of order  $c$ , and  $G^R$  and  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  both have regular normal subgroups.*

**Proof.** Suppose first that  $K = 1$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to a 2-homogeneous and, hence, primitive subgroup of  $S_c$  and  $|G|$  is divisible by  $v = c^2$ . Hence,  $c \neq 5$ . If  $c = 9$  then  $G$  contains a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $S_9$ ; hence,  $G$  contains a 3-cycle, so  $G$  is  $A_9$  or  $S_9$ . However, in this case  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is  $A_8$  or  $S_8$  and, so,  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  cannot act transitively on  $\rho(\alpha)$ . Thus,  $c \neq 9$ . If  $c = 27$  then, as  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is transitive on  $\rho(\alpha)$  of degree 27, it follows that  $G$  does not contain  $A_{27}$ . Then (see [2, 8, XII 6.5]),  $G \leq \text{AGL}(3, 3)$  and, so,  $G_{\rho(\alpha)} \leq \text{GL}(3, 3)$ . Since  $|G_{\rho(\alpha)}|$  is divisible by 27 and since  $G^R$  is 2-homogeneous, it follows (see [9]) that  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is  $\text{SL}(3, 3)$  or  $\text{GL}(3, 3)$ . But neither of these groups can act 2-homogeneously on  $\rho(\alpha)$  of degree 27. Thus,  $K$  is nontrivial; so, by Theorem 1.1,  $K$  is elementary abelian of order  $c$ . Hence,  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)}$  has a regular normal subgroup, namely,  $K^{\rho(\alpha)}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be distinct  $\rho$ -related points and let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Then by Lemma 2.3,  $G_B = G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} \subseteq G_{\rho(\alpha)}$ .

Now  $|G_{\rho(\alpha)} : G_B| = c(c-1)/2$  and, hence,  $|G_{\rho(\alpha)} : G_B K| = (c-1)/2$ . Further,  $G_B K$  fixes setwise the set of  $k-2$  equivalence classes which meet  $B$  in one point. The only 2-homogeneous group  $G$  of degree 5 such that  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  has a subgroup of index 2 with a fixed set of size 2 is the Frobenius group  $F_{20}$ ; so, if  $c = 5$  then  $G^R = F_{20}$ . Similarly, the only 2-homogeneous subgroups  $G$  of  $S_9$  such that  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  has a subgroup of index 4 with a fixed set of size 3 (see [13]) are subgroups of  $\text{AGL}(2, 3)$ .

Finally, the only 2-homogeneous subgroups  $G$  of  $S_{27}$  such that  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  has a subgroup of index 13 with a fixed set of size 6 (see [2, 8, XII 6.5]) are subgroups of  $\text{AGL}(3, 3)$ .  $\square$

Now we show that the cases  $c = 5$  and  $c = 9$  do not arise.

**Lemma 4.2.** *There are no examples when  $c=5$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.1,  $K \simeq Z_5$  and  $G/K \simeq F_{20}$ ; so,  $O_5(G)$  has order 25 and is regular on points. Thus, we may identify the point set  $\Omega$  with  $O_5(G)$  in such a way that  $O_5(G)$  acts by right multiplication. Now if  $\alpha$  is identified with the identity element of  $O_5(G)$  then  $G = O_5(G)G_\alpha$  and  $G_\alpha$  acts by conjugation on  $\Omega$ . Moreover,  $\rho(\alpha)$  is a subgroup of  $O_5(G)$  of order 5 which is fixed by  $K$ . Hence,  $\rho(\alpha) = K$  and the other  $\rho$ -classes are the cosets of  $K$  in  $O_5(G)$ .

Suppose first that  $O_5(G)$  is elementary abelian. Then  $G_\alpha$  fixes at least two of the six subgroups of  $O_5(G)$  of index 5, namely,  $K$  and  $H$ , say, and  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . Then  $G$  preserves a second nontrivial equivalence relation  $\tau$  on  $\Omega$  the equivalence classes of which are the cosets of  $H$  in  $O_5(G)$ . By [5] (or see [3]), each block of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains a unique pair of  $\tau$ -related points. We may take  $O_5(G) = \{(s, t) | s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_5\}$ ,  $K = \langle (1, 0) \rangle$ ,  $H = \langle (0, 1) \rangle$ . Let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $(1, 0)$  and  $(4, 0)$ . Then  $G_B$  is the subgroup of  $G_\alpha$  of order 2; it must invert  $K$  and, as  $G_B^R = G_B K/K$  also has order 2, it must invert  $O_5(G)/K \simeq H$ . Since  $G_B$  normalizes  $H$ , it follows that  $G_B = \langle \sigma \rangle$ , where  $(s, t)\sigma = (-s, -t)$ . So, the other two points of  $B$  are  $(s, t)$  and  $(-s, -t)$  for some  $s, t$ , with  $t \neq 0$ . Since  $B$  must contain exactly one pair of  $\tau$ -related points, that is, exactly one pair of points with the same first entries, it follows that  $s=0$ , and we may take  $t$  to be 1 or 2. If  $t=1$  then translating  $B$  by  $(1, 4) \in O_5(G)$  yields another block  $\{(2, 4), (0, 4), (1, 0), (1, 3)\}$  containing  $(0, 4)$  and  $(1, 0)$ , while if  $t=2$  then translating  $B$  by  $(1, 2)$  yields a second block  $\{(2, 2), (0, 2), (1, 4), (1, 0)\}$  containing  $(0, 2)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . Thus, no design with  $\lambda=1$  arises in this case.

Thus, we may identify  $O_5(G)$  with the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$  of integers modulo 25, and  $G_\alpha$  with the group  $\langle h \rangle$ , where  $j^h \equiv 7j \pmod{25}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{25}$ . The  $\rho$ -classes are  $r_i = \{j | j \equiv i \pmod{5}\}$ , where  $i=0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $r_0 = K$ . Let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing 5 and 20. Then  $G_B = \langle h^2 \rangle$ . (Note that  $j^{h^2} \equiv -j \pmod{25}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{25}$ .) Hence, the other two points of  $B$  are  $s$  and  $-s$  for some  $1 \leq s \leq 12$ , with  $s \neq 5, 10$ . Translating  $B = \{5, 20, s, -s\}$  by  $5-s \in \mathbb{Z}_{25}$  gives a block  $B' = \{10-s, -s, 5, 5-2s\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing 5 and  $-s$  and, hence,  $B = B'$ . This means, however, that  $10-s$  is equal to 20 or  $s$ , that is,  $s$  is equal to 15 or 5, respectively, which is a contradiction. Thus, there are no examples with  $c=5$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *There are no examples when  $c=9$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.1,  $K$  is elementary abelian of order 9 and  $G^R = G/K \leq \text{AGL}(2, 3)$ . Moreover,  $G^R$  is 2-homogeneous and, as  $9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $G^R$  is 2-transitive. Thus  $G = O_3(G)G_\alpha$ , where  $O_3(G)$  is regular on the 81 points of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $G_\alpha \leq \text{GL}(2, 3)$  is transitive on  $R - \{\rho(\alpha)\}$ . A Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_\alpha$  is transitive on  $R - \{\rho(\alpha)\}$  and on  $\rho(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}$ , both of degree 8. Since a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}(2, 3)$  has order 16, and since the only subgroups of  $\text{GL}(2, 3)$  of order 8 which are transitive are cyclic or quaternion, it follows that  $G_\alpha$  has a subgroup  $H$  isomorphic to  $Z_8$  or  $Q_8$  such that  $H$  is transitive on  $R - \{\rho(\alpha)\}$  and  $\rho(\alpha) - \{\alpha\}$ . Let  $\bar{G} = O_3(G)H$ . Then the subgroup of

$\bar{G}$  fixing a block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing two points  $\beta, \gamma$  of  $\rho(\alpha)$  is  $\bar{G}_{\{\beta, \gamma\}}$ , a subgroup of  $\bar{G}_{\rho(\alpha)}$  of order 2 (since  $\bar{G}_{\rho(\alpha)}$  is sharply 2-transitive on  $\rho(\alpha)$ ). Hence,  $\bar{G}$  is transitive on blocks and we may, therefore, assume that  $H=G_2$  and  $\bar{G}=G$ .

As usual, we may identify the point set  $\Omega$  with  $O_3(G)$  acting by right-multiplication. Identifying  $\alpha$  with the identity of  $O_3(G)$ , we have  $G_\alpha=H$  acting by conjugation. Then  $\rho(\alpha)=K$ . Now  $H \simeq Z_8$  or  $Q_8$  contains a unique involution  $z$  which is the central involution of  $GL(2, 3)$  and  $z$  inverts  $K$  and  $O_3(G)/K$ . Let  $\beta, \gamma$  be two points of  $\rho(\alpha)=K$  which are interchanged by  $z$  and let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Then  $G_B=\langle z \rangle$ . Now  $G_B$  should fix setwise the three  $\rho$ -classes which meet  $B$  in one point. But this is not the case since  $z$  interchanges the 8 classes in  $R-\{\rho(\alpha)\}$  in pairs. Thus, no such design exists.  $\square$

Thus, we have  $c=27, k=8$  and, by Lemma 4.1,  $K$  is elementary abelian of order 27 and  $G^R=G/K \leq AGL(3, 3)$ . Thus,  $G=O_3(G)G_\alpha$ , with  $O_3(G)$  acting regularly on the 729 points, and  $G_\alpha \leq GL(3, 3)$ . Let  $H$  be a Sylow 13-subgroup of  $G_\alpha$ . Then  $|H|=13$  (since  $G^R$  is 2-homogeneous) and  $O_3(G)H$  is transitive on the  $729 \cdot 13$  blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$ . As usual, we may identify the point set  $\Omega$  with  $O_3(G)$  so that  $O_3(G)$  acts by right multiplication and, identifying  $\alpha$  with the identity of  $O_3(G)$ ,  $G_\alpha$  acts by conjugation. Then  $\rho(\alpha)=K$  and the  $\rho$ -classes are the cosets of  $K$  in  $O_3(G)$ . Further, we know that both  $K$  and  $O_3(G)/K$  are elementary abelian of order 27. We shall show that there are, up to an isomorphism, exactly three possibilities for the group  $O_3(G)$ . First we investigate the action of  $G$  on  $R$  in more detail. Note that, since the centre of  $O_3(G)$  intersects  $K$  nontrivially, and since  $G_\alpha$  acts irreducibly on  $K$ , the subgroup  $K$  is contained in the centre of  $O_3(G)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *The action of  $G$  on  $R$  is 2-homogeneous but not 2-transitive.*

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that  $G^R$  is 2-transitive. Then the group  $G_\alpha \simeq G_{\rho(\alpha)}^R$  either contains  $SL(3, 3)$  or is contained in  $\Gamma L(1, 27)$  (see [9, Appendix]). We may identify  $K$  with a 3-dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $GF(3)$  in such a way that  $\alpha$  is the zero vector. Let  $w$  be a nonzero vector of  $V$  and let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $\{w, -w\}$ . Suppose first that  $G_\alpha \geq SL(3, 3)$ . Then  $G_B=G_{\{w, -w\}}$  is a subgroup of  $G_{\rho(\alpha)}$  since  $\{w, -w\} \subseteq \rho(\alpha)$ . Now  $G_B^{\rho(\alpha)} \leq G_{\rho(\alpha)}^{\rho(\alpha)} \leq AGL(3, 3)$  and  $G_B$  fixes the affine line containing  $\{w, -w\}$ , namely,  $\langle w \rangle = \{0, w, -w\}$ . It follows that  $G_B$  fixes  $\alpha$  (identified with the zero vector) and, so,  $G_B$  is the subgroup of  $G_\alpha$  (which is  $GL(3, 3)$  or  $SL(3, 3)$ ) fixing  $\langle w \rangle$  setwise. Thus, in its action on  $R$ , with  $R$  also identified with  $V$ ,  $G_B^R$  is the stabilizer in  $G^R$  of the zero vector (which is identified with  $\rho(\alpha)$ ) and a subspace of dimension 1 or 2. Thus, the  $G_B$ -orbits on  $R$  have lengths either 1, 2, 24 or 1, 8, 18, respectively. Thus, it is impossible for  $G_B$  to fix setwise the set of six  $\rho$ -classes which meet  $B$  in one point. Hence,  $G_\alpha \leq \Gamma L(1, 27)$  and, as  $G_\alpha$  is transitive on  $R-\{\rho(\alpha)\}$ ,  $G_\alpha$  contains the central involution  $z$  of  $GL(3, 3)$ . Now  $z$  inverts  $O_3(G)/K$  and, as  $G_\alpha$  is also transitive on  $\rho(\alpha)-\{\alpha\}$ ,  $z$  also inverts  $K$ . It is easy to check (since  $K$  is the centre of  $O_3(G)$ ) that an involution with this property must invert each element of  $O_3(G)$ . Let

$\bar{G} = O_3(G)\langle H, z \rangle$ . Then  $\bar{G}$  is transitive on blocks (since  $O_3(G)H$  is), and  $\bar{G}_B = \langle z \rangle$ . If  $u \in B - \{w, -w\}$  then the inverse of  $u$ , which is  $u^z$ , is also in  $B = B^z$ . Thus, we have two pairs  $\{w, u\}$  and  $\{-w, u^z\} = \{w, u\}^z$  from  $B$  which lie in the same  $\bar{G}$ -orbit on unordered pairs of points. However, by [3, Proposition 1.3], if  $\Delta$  is an orbit of  $\bar{G}$  on unordered pairs of points and  $q_\Delta$  is the number of unordered pairs of points of  $B$  which lie in  $\Delta$ , then  $q_\Delta/|\Delta|$  should be independent of  $\Delta$ . Now the Sylow 2-subgroup  $\langle z \rangle$  of  $\bar{G}$  has normalizer in  $\bar{G}$  equal to  $\langle H, z \rangle \simeq Z_{26}$  and, hence,  $\bar{G}$  contains  $3^6$  distinct conjugates of  $z$ . Each conjugate of  $z$  fixes  $(3^6 - 1)/2$  unordered pairs of points setwise (since  $z$  inverts  $O_3(G) = \Omega$ ) and no unordered pair is fixed setwise by more than one involution (since the stabilizer in  $\bar{G}$  of an unordered pair has index in  $\bar{G}$  divisible by  $3^6 \cdot 13$ ). Hence, each of the  $3^6(3^6 - 1)/2$  unordered pairs of points is fixed setwise by an involution of  $\bar{G}$  and it follows that  $\bar{G}$  has 28 orbits on unordered pairs of points, each of length  $3^6 \cdot 13$ . Thus,  $B$  should contain exactly one unordered pair of points from each of these  $\bar{G}$ -orbits. So, the fact that  $B$  contains two pairs from the same  $\bar{G}$ -orbit on pairs is a contradiction.  $\square$

Thus,  $G^R$  is a 2-homogeneous group of odd order. So,  $G_\alpha$  is either  $H$  or  $H \cdot 3$  and  $G_\alpha \simeq G_{\rho(\alpha)}^R \leq \Gamma L(1, 27)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *The group  $G$  is  $O_3(G)G_\alpha$ , where  $G_\alpha \simeq Z_{13}$  or  $G_\alpha \simeq Z_{13} \cdot Z_3$ , and  $O_3(G)$  satisfies one of the following:*

- (a)  $O_3(G)$  is elementary abelian,  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $L$ , say, such that  $O_3(G) = K \times L$ , where  $K \simeq L \simeq Z_3^3$ ;
- (b)  $O_3(G) = Z_9^3$  is homocyclic of exponent 9;
- (c)  $O_3(G)$  is the relatively free 3-generator, exponent 3, nilpotency class 2 group, of order  $3^6$ , and  $K = O_3(G)' = \Phi(O_3(G)) = Z(O_3(G))$ .

**Proof.** The group  $G_\alpha$  acts irreducibly on  $K$  and  $O_3(G)/K$ . If  $O_3(G)$  is elementary abelian then, as  $|G_\alpha|$  is relatively prime to 3,  $O_3(G)$ , regarded as a  $\text{GF}(3)G_\alpha$ -module, is completely reducible by Maschke's Theorem. Hence, there is a normal subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  such that  $O_3(G) = K \times L$  and (a) holds. Suppose next that  $O_3(G)$  is abelian of exponent greater than 3. Then  $O_3(G)$  has exponent 9 and it follows that  $O_3(G) = Z_9^3$  (since  $G_\alpha$  is irreducible on  $O_3(G)/K$  and  $K \subseteq \Omega_1(O_3(G))$ ). Thus, (b) is true.

Finally, suppose that  $O_3(G)$  is nonabelian. Now the centre  $Z$  of  $O_3(G)$  intersects  $K$  in a nontrivial  $G$ -invariant subgroup and, as  $G$  is irreducible on  $K$ ,  $K \subseteq Z$ . Further, as  $G$  is irreducible on  $O_3(G)/K$ , it follows that  $K = Z$ . Similarly,  $K = \Phi(O_3(G)) = O_3(G)'$ , and  $O_3(G)$  has nilpotency class 2. Suppose now that some element  $g$  of  $O_3(G)$  has order 9. Then  $g \notin K$  and, as  $G_\alpha$  is transitive on the 13 subgroups of  $O_3(G)/K$  of order 3, it follows that each coset of  $K$  in  $O_3(G)$  contains an element of order 9. As  $K$  is central in  $O_3(G)$ , it follows that every element of  $O_3(G) - K$  has order 9. It follows that the automorphism group of  $O_3(G)$  is transitive on the subgroups of  $O_3(G)$  of order 3 and, hence, by [11, 12],  $O_3(G)$  is abelian, which is a contradiction. Thus,  $O_3(G)$  has exponent 3. Further, since the Frattini factor group

of  $O_3(G)$  has order 27,  $O_3(G)$  is a 3-generator group. Finally, since the relatively free 3-generator, exponent 3, class 2 group has order  $3^6$ , it follows that this group is isomorphic to  $O_3(G)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $O_3(G)$  is elementary abelian then  $G_\alpha \simeq Z_{13}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $O_3(G) = K \times L$ , as in Lemma 4.5(a), and suppose that  $|G_\alpha| = 39$ . Then  $G_\alpha$  contains all of the 13 Sylow 3-subgroups of  $\Gamma L(1, 27)$  and, hence,  $G_\alpha$  contains a subgroup  $\langle g \rangle$  of order 3 which acts on  $K$  and  $O_3(G)/K$  (each identified with the additive group of  $\text{GF}(27)$ ) as the group of field automorphisms of  $\text{GF}(27)$ . Thus,  $g$  centralizes a subgroup of  $K$  of order 3 and a subgroup of  $O_3(G)/K$  of order 3. If  $g$  centralizes  $\langle hK \rangle$ , where  $h \in L - \{1\}$ , then  $h^g \in hK \cap L$  (since  $L$  is normal in  $G$ ) and, hence,  $h^g = h$ . It follows that  $g$  centralizes 3 elements of each of  $K, hK$  and  $h^2K$  and, hence,  $g$  centralizes 9 elements of  $O_3(G)$ . Let  $C_K(g) = \langle f \rangle$ , so that  $C_{O_3(G)}(g) = \langle h, f \rangle$ . Let  $B$  be the block of  $\mathcal{D}$  containing  $f$  and  $f^2$ . Then  $G_B = \langle g \rangle$  and, as  $G$  preserves the equivalence relation  $\tau$ , with equivalence classes the  $L$ -cosets in  $O_3(G)$ ,  $B$  must contain a unique pair of  $\tau$ -related points, that is, a unique pair of points in the same coset of  $L$ . Also  $G_B$  must fix this pair setwise and, hence, must fix the  $L$ -coset they belong to. However, the only cosets of  $L$  fixed by  $G_B$  are  $L, Lf$  and  $Lf^2$ , and the only pairs of points in these cosets left fixed by  $G_B$  consist of points in  $\langle h, f \rangle$ . On the other hand,  $G_B$  fixes setwise the set of 6 cosets of  $K$  which intersect  $B$  in a unique point. These 6 cosets must comprise two orbits of  $G_B$  on  $O_3(G)/K$  of length 3 and, hence,  $B$  can contain no points from  $hK$  or  $h^2K$ . Thus, it is not possible for  $B$  to contain two points in  $\langle h, f \rangle$  lying in the same  $L$ -coset. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Theorem 1.2 follows from the results proved in this section. We conclude with a brief discussion of the problem of determining the existence and the number of  $2-(729, 8, 1)$  designs  $\mathcal{D}$  that exist. For each of the three possible groups  $O_3(G)$  determined in Lemma 4.5, the group  $\bar{G} = O_3(G)H$ , where  $H \simeq Z_{13}$ , acts regularly on blocks and  $\bar{G}$  has 28 orbits on unordered pairs of points, each of length  $3^6 \cdot 13$ . By [3, Proposition 1.3], the images under  $\bar{G}$  of an 8-element subset  $B$  of  $O_3(G)$  form the block set of a 2-design if and only if  $B$  contains exactly one unordered pair from each of the 28 orbits of  $\bar{G}$  on unordered pairs. For any design  $\mathcal{D}$ , there is a block  $B$  containing a given pair of points  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $K$ . The points  $\alpha, \beta$  are the unique pair of  $\rho$ -related points in  $B$  and, so, the remaining six points of  $B$  come from 6 distinct nontrivial cosets of  $K$  in  $O_3(G)$ . These basic observations underly the computer searches which were carried out and are described in [10]. Exactly 467 designs were found.

## References

- [1] W. Burnside, *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, 2nd edn., 1911, reprint (Dover, New York, 1955).
- [2] P.J. Cameron, Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 13 (1981) 1–22.

- [3] P.J. Cameron and C.E. Praeger, Block-transitive designs I: point-imprimitive designs, *Discrete Math.*, to appear.
- [4] A.R. Camina and L. di Martino, The group of automorphisms of a transitive 2-(91, 6, 1) design, *Geom. Dedicata* 31 (1989) 151–164.
- [5] A. Delandtsheer and J. Doyen, Most block transitive  $t$ -designs are point primitive, *Geom. Dedicata*, 29 (1989) 397–410.
- [6] P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries* (Springer, New York, 1968).
- [7] W. Feit and J. Thompson, Solvability of groups of odd order, *Pacific J. Math.* 13 (1963) 775–1029.
- [8] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups III* (Springer, Berlin, 1982).
- [9] M.W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 54 (1987) 477–516.
- [10] W. Nickel, A.C. Niemeyer, C.M. O'Keefe, T. Penttila and C.E. Praeger, The block-transitive, point-imprimitive 2-(729, 8, 1) designs, *Appl. Alg. in Eng. Comm. and Sci.* 3 (1992) 47–61.
- [11] E.E. Shult, On finite automorphic algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* 13 (1969) 625–653.
- [12] E.E. Shult, On the triviality of finite automorphic algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* 13 (1969) 654–659.
- [13] C.C. Sims, Computational methods in the study of permutation groups, in: J. Leach, ed., *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1970) 169–184.
- [14] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1964).